(51) International Patent Classification 7 : H04L 9/32, 9/30	**A1**	(11) International Publication Number: **WO 00/49768**
		(43) International Publication Date: 24 August 2000 (24.08.00)

(54) Title: METHOD FOR SIGNATURE SPLITTING TO PROTECT PRIVATE KEYS

(57) Abstract

A method for splitting digital signature algorithms is described that can increase the protection of the private key x of the user of an asymmetric key pair (x, y). In an initialization phase, the private key is split into private subkeys. The actual signature splitting method consists of two steps. In a first step (204), partial signature values are computed from the message m to be signed and the subkeys without using the initial private key x. In a second step (206), these partial signature values are combined to form the complete digital signature. To increase the security of the private key x, the private subkeys and the algorithms to compute the partial signature values can be stored and implemented on separate tamper–resistant devices. When a proper subset of the private subkeys becomes compromised, new private subkeys can be generated without having to change the original key pair (x, y).

Method for Signature Splitting to Protect Private Keys

Technical Field

5

The present invention relates to a method and apparatus
for generating a digital signature according to the pre-
amble of the independent claims.

10   Background Art

In a Public-Key Crypto System (PKCS) each user has one or
more key pairs (x,y) consisting of a private key x and a
corresponding public key y (cf. Handbook of Applied Cryp-
15 tography by A.J. Menezes, P.C. van Oorschot and S.A.
Vanstone, CRC Press, 1997, ISBN 0-8493-8523-7). The pub-
lic key is made available to all users of the PKCS in
such a way that the authenticity of the link between a
user – which is characterized by a distinguished name –
20 and his public key is guaranteed. The private key x, how-
ever, is kept secret and only the authorized user has ac-
cess to x.

Signature schemes that rely on a PKCS are e.g. RSA (cf.
25 US 4 405 829), or ElGamal based signature schemes, such
as the schemes of Schnorr (US 4 995 082) and Nyberg-
Rueppel (cf. K.Nyberg, R.Rueppel, "Message Recovery for
Signature Schemes Based on the Discrete Logarithm Prob-
lem," Designs, Codes and Cryptography, 7, 1996, pp. 61 –
30 81) or the DSA, see FIPS 186 ("Digital Signature Stan-
dard", Federal Information Processing Standards Publica-
tion 186, U.S. Department of Commerce/N.I.S.T., National
Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia,
1994). These digital signature schemes provide methods
35 for signing a digital message and verifying a digital
signature. But they do not provide means for protecting

the private key.

If an unauthorized party obtains a copy of the private
key x, this party can form digital signatures and act as
5. if it were the authorized user. Thus, it is crucial to
securely protect the private key x and to avoid that x
becomes compromised, e.g., by falling into the hands of
an unauthorized party.

10 The private key is usually protected by an access control
system. In a simple access control system, the private
key x is stored in encrypted format on a storage device
and the private key is only made available if the correct
password is provided. The security of an access control
15 system depends on different factors such as the particu-
lar access control mechanism, the encryption algorithm
used, the device that performs encryption and decryption,
and the storage device on which the private key is
stored. Possible storage devices could be a diskette, a
20 dedicated protected computer system or a tamper-resistant
device such as a chip card or an electronic wallet but
also a PC at home.

There are different ways how the private key of a user
25 can become compromised. The following threats may arise.

(I)   The access control is compromised. E.g., an unau-
thorized party has obtained the password or succeeds to
read the private key from the storage device.
30

(II)   An authorized party is able to extract (parts of)
the private key during the digital signature process from
the device that performs the signature.

35 (III) Information about the private key leaks out to an
unauthorized party during the initialization and key dis-

3

tribution phase.

(IV) The underlying PKCS and the corresponding digital
signature scheme are broken.

Disclosure of the Invention

The problem to be solved by the present invention is to
increase the protection of the private key against at
least one of the threats (I)-(III). This problem is
solved by the method and apparatus according to the inde-
pendent claims.

The invention can in particular be used to increase pro-
tection against threats (I) and (II). It can also partly
increase the protection against threat (III) depending on
the key generation and key distribution model.

The present invention makes use of a particular idea from
Secret Sharing (cf. Chapter 12.7 in the textbook cited
above), viz., the private key is split into two or more
private subkeys. In contrast to Secret Sharing, the pri-
vate subkeys need not be distributed to different enti-
ties; in the present invention, the private subkeys can
also be managed and used by the same entity. Thus, this
invention is based on a different trust model than the
one in Secret Sharing. Another important difference to
Secret Sharing consists in the way that the subkeys are
used. In the present invention, the subkeys need not be
communicated to a dedicated entity to form the original
private key x; instead, the subkeys are used to create
partial signatures and these partial signatures are com-
bined to form the full signature. Thus, when producing a
digital signature, the private key x is never generated
from the private subkeys. Moreover, the private subkeys
cannot be effectively determined from the partial signa-

4

tures and, hence, even if an unauthorized party knows all
partial signatures, the private key is not compromised.

Brief Description of the Drawings

5

The invention will be better understood and
objects other than those set forth above will become ap-
parent when consideration is given to the following de-
tailed description thereof. Such description makes refer-
10 ence to the annexed drawings, wherein:
Fig. 1 shows the steps of the subkey genera-
tion phase for generating t private subkeys
Fig. 2 shows the steps of the Signature
Splitting Method using t=2 private subkeys
15 Fig. 3 shows a possible hardware implementa-
tion for a signature splitting scheme with t=2 private
subkeys.

Modes for Carrying Out the Invention

20

The present invention provides a method to split digital
signatures into partial signatures and to combine these
to generate the full original signature. The resulting
scheme will be called a Signature Splitting Scheme (SSS).
25

As a prerequisite, it is assumed that the private key x
can be viewed as an element of a group X with group op-
eration +, where 0 denotes the neutral element, and that
the signature or a characteristic value s of the signa-
30 ture lies in a monoid S with composition law *. Fixing a
message m to be signed, the signature algorithm Σ defines
a mapping σ_m from the key group X to the signature monoid
S, namely, $s=\sigma_m(x)$, where s is the signature value that
results from applying the signature algorithm Σ to m us-
35 ing the private key x. It is further assumed that, for
almost all allowed messages m, the mapping ψ_m defined by

5

$$\psi_m(x) = \sigma_m(x) * (\sigma_m(0))^{-1}, \tag{1}$$

where $(\sigma_m(0))^{-1}$ denotes the inverse of $\sigma_m(0)$, is a homo-
5   morphism from X to S.

In an initialization phase, which will be called Subkey
Generation Phase, the private key x is split into two or
more private subkeys x_1, x_2, ... using a Shared Control
10   Scheme as described in Chapter 12.7.1 in the textbook
cited above. A splitting into t private subkeys is ob-
tained by choosing t-1 uniformly random subkeys x_1, x_2,
..., x_{t-1} in the group X and by requiring that the last
private subkey x_t satisfies the equation
15

$$x = x_1 + x_2 + \ldots + x_t . \tag{2}$$

The private subkeys are separately stored and protected
by separate access control systems. This concludes the
20   initialization phase of the subkey generation.

The signature splitting method makes use of the homomor-
phism property

25        $$\psi_m(x) = \psi_m(x_1) * \psi_m(x_2) * \ldots * \psi_m(x_t) . \tag{3}$$

The following steps are carried out:
(i)   For a message m to be signed, the value $b = \sigma_m(0)$,
which is independent of x, is split into t subvalues b_1,
30   b_2, ..., b_t using a pre-defined splitting rule such that
in the monoid S the following equation holds

$$b = b_1 * b_2 * \ldots * b_t . \tag{4}$$

35   (ii) Using the private subkeys, the message m and the
previously computed subvalues b_i, the partial signature

6

values

$$s_i = \Psi_m(x_i) * b_i \qquad\qquad (5)$$

are computed for i=1,2,...,t.
(iii) Eventually, the partial signatures values are combined to form the signature value s, given by

$$s = s_1 * s_2 * ... * s_t . \qquad\qquad (6)$$

Detailed Description for the Implementation of Signature Splitting Schemes

The goal of a SSS is to increase the protection of the private key x. To increase the protection against threats (I) and (II), the private subkeys x_i and the algorithms for the computation of the partial signature values s_i can be stored and implemented on separate tamper-resistant devices, which are under the control of the authorized user of the key pair (x,y). The combining operation (6), in the last step, can be performed on a dedicated device that reads in the partial signature values and generates the output s. This dedicated device need not be necessarily under the control of the authorized user; the combining operation can e.g. take place on the device of the receiver of the digital signature.

A possible hardware implementation of a SSS is shown in Fig. 3 where t=2 private subkeys are used. In the key generation phase, the key pair (x, y) can be generated on a computer (shown as device 300 in Fig. 3). This computer can also contain a program that executes the steps of the Subkey Generation Phase as described above and illustrated in Fig. 1. E.g., the storing operation at step 106

7

in Fig. 1 will put the private subkeys x_1 and x_2 on the
two separate chip cards 304 and 308 shown in Fig. 3.

Suppose a message m obtained via the input interface 310
5   (e.g. a keyboard) or via the network is to be signed by
the user with key pair (x,y) using the computer 300 and
the two chip cards 304 and 308, which carry the two pri-
vate subkeys x_1 and x_2. The digital signature is per-
formed by applying the steps of the signature splitting
10   method described above and illustrated in Fig. 2. The
mentioned computer sends the message m to the processors
on the two chip cards 304, 308. In order to activate the
partial signature computation (step 204 in Fig. 2) on the
chip cards 304, 308, the user must enter the two pass-
15   words for the two subkeys, which can be done via the key-
board of the computer 300 or via two separate mini-
keyboards that are installed on the chip cards or on the
two chip card readers. After performing the computation
of the subvalues (step 202) and the computation of the
20   partial signatures (step 204), the two chip cards trans-
fer the resulting partial signatures values s_1 and s_2 to
the mentioned computer. On this computer, the partial
signatures values are combined to the signature value s
and completed to the full signature in an appropriate
25   format. It can then be transferred over a network 312 to
a computer 314 of another user of the PKCS.

Key Protection and Subkey Re-Generation
30
Once the subkey generation is completed and all subkeys
are stored on dedicated devices, the initial private key
x need not be kept and stored in a SSS. Without private
key x, direct attacks against the private key are no
35   longer possible. Thus, in a SSS the private key can only
be attacked via attacks against the subkeys. The Shared

8

Control Scheme described above has the following security
feature: If the private key x is split into t private
subkeys as specified in the initial Subkey Generation
Phase, then x will not be compromised unless all t pri-
5   vate subkeys are compromised because fewer than t subkeys
give no information about the private key x. Thus, if the
t subkeys are all stored on separate devices, it is about
t times more difficult to obtain all subkeys than it
would be to obtain the original private key, when no SSS
10   is used. Therefore, a SSS can increase the protection
against threat (I) by about a factor of t. A similar in-
crease of the security of the private key x against
threat (II) by a factor of t is obtained if all partial
signatures values s_1, s_2, ..., s_t are computed on t sepa-
15   rate devices.

If in a digital signature scheme the private key gets
compromised, there is no way to recover without replacing
the old key pair (x,y) by a new key pair (x',y'). This
20   may have far reaching implications if the user of this
key pair represents a particular trustworthy authority
such as a certification authority of a public key infra-
structure. When an SSS is used, such a mandatory replace-
ment of the private key x can be circumvented provided
25   that not all subkeys have been compromised. The following
method for recovering from a partially compromised SSS by
re-generation of new subkeys can be applied.

Suppose that the private subkeys x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, ..., x_{i_u},
30   where u<t are compromised and that there exists a non-
compromised private subkey x_k. The SSS is fully recovered
by re-generating u+1 new subkeys x'_{i_1}, x'_{i_2}, ..., x'_{i_u},
x'_k, where u of these new subkeys are chosen uniformly
random in the group X and the last new subkey is deter-
35   mined by the equation

9

$$x'_{i_1} + x'_{i_2} + \ldots + x'_{i_u} + x'_k = x_{i_1} + x_{i_2} + \ldots + x_{i_u} + x_k . \quad (5)$$

This re-generation method can also be used to exchange a
subset of the private subkeys if such a subkey replace-
ment is required by a key management policy.

Signature Splitting for the RSA Signature

The Rivest-Shamir-Adleman (RSA) PKCS is based on the dif-
ficulty of factoring a product $n=p \cdot q$ of two large prime
numbers p and q (cf. US 4 405 829). Let $Z_{\varphi(n)}$ denote the
ring of integers modulo $\varphi(n)$, where $\varphi(n)=(p-1)(q-1)$. The
private key x is a randomly chosen invertible element of
$Z_{\varphi(n)}$ and the public key is given by n and the inverse y
of x, i.e., y satisfies $x \cdot y=1 \mod \varphi(n)$. The key group X
consists of the additive group of $Z_{\varphi(n)}$, the signature
monoid S consists of the multiplicative structure of the
ring Z_n and for a given message m in Z_n, the mapping σ_m
is defined by

$$\sigma_m(x) = m^x \mod n .$$

In particular, $\sigma_m(0)=1$ and, therefore, the mapping ψ_m de-
fined in (1) coincides with σ_m. This allows to simplify
the signature splitting method by skipping the splitting
step of the value $b=\sigma_m(0)$ as given in (4). Note that
$\psi_m=\sigma_m$ is a homomorphism if and only if m is relatively
prime to n, which is true for almost all m. If m is not
relatively prime to n, then m can be used to break this
RSA PKCS, i.e., an attacker can factor n efficiently. But
even in the case that m is not relatively prime to n, the
splitting scheme still functions properly, i.e., (3) al-
ways holds for every splitting of x as given in (2) be-
cause x is relatively prime to $\varphi(n)$.

Signature Splitting for the ElGamal Signature and the DSA

5   ElGamal based signature schemes rely on the difficulty of
the discrete logarithm problem (cf. Chapter 11.5 in the
textbook cited above). In the original ElGamal signature
scheme, a large finite field $GF(q)$ and a primitive ele-
ment $ß$ of $GF(q)$ are given. Each user randomly chooses his
10   private key x in the additive group of $X = Z_{q-1}$ and
forms his public key $y=ß^x$ in $GF(q)$. Let h denote a suit-
able hash function and let $h(m)$, $0 \le h(m) < q-1$, denote the
hash value of a message to be signed. The signature for
m, consisting of the pair (r,s), is obtained by carrying
15   out the following steps.
(a)   Compute $r=ß^k$ in $GF(q)$, where k is a randomly chosen
        element of Z_{q-1}, which is relatively prime to q-1.

(b)   Solve for s in the congruence
20

        $h(m) = x \cdot h(r) + k \cdot s \bmod (q-1)$.

The signature value s lies in the signature monoid $S = Z_{q-1}$, which is actually a group. The signature mapping σ_m
25   is given by

        $s = \sigma_m(x) = k^{-1} \cdot (h(m) - x \cdot h(r))$

and the message dependent value b equals $\sigma_m(0)=k^{-1} \cdot h(m)$.
30   In an ElGamal based SSS, step (a), which does not depend
on the private key x, is performed as in the ElGamal
scheme and the signature splitting is applied to step
(b). In this setting, where $X = S$, a possible splitting
rule for the message dependent value b is given by the
35   splitting rule for the private subkeys as specified in
the Subkey Generation Phase.

11

The DAS of the DSS as described in FIPS 186 ("Digital
Signature Standard", Federal Information Processing Stan-
dards Publication 186, U.S. Department of Com-
5   merce/N.I.S.T., National Technical Information Service,
Springfield, Virginia, 1994) is based on the ElGamal
scheme. For the DSA it is assumed that q is a large prime
and that there is a prime u in the range $2^{159} < u < 2^{160}$,
which is a divisor of q-1. Moreover, $\beta \in GF(q)$ is assumed
10   to be a generator of the unique cyclic subgroup of order
u in the multiplicative group of GF(q). Similarly as in
the ElGamal scheme, the signature of a message m consists
of the pair (r, s), where

15           $r = (\beta^k \bmod q) \bmod u$
and
             $s = k^{-1} (h(m) + x \cdot r) \bmod u.$

Hence, the signature splitting can be carried out in a
20   similar way as in the ElGamal scheme.

Signature Splitting for the Schnorr Signature

The Schnorr signature scheme (US 4 995 082) is a variant
25   of the ElGamal scheme. As a new idea, instead of being a
primitive element in GF(q), ß is now a generator of a
large subgroup of the multiplicative group of GF(q).
Thus, ß generates a group isomorphic to Z_u, where u di-
vides q-1. The key pair (x,y) is defined as above, i.e,
30   $y=ß^x$ where x is an element of the key group $X = Z_u$.
Moreover, to reduce the message length a hash function h
is used.

The signature for m, consisting of the pair (e,s), is ob-
35   tained by carrying out the following steps.

12

(a') Compute $r=\beta^k$ in GF(q), where k is a randomly chosen
      element of Z_u.

(b') Form the concatenation $m||r$ of m and r and compute
5   the hash value $e=h(m||r)$.

(c') Compute the signature value

      $$s = \sigma_m(x) = x \cdot e + k \mod u .$$
10

The signature value s lies in the signature monoid S =
Z_u, which is actually a group. The value b equals $\sigma_m(0)=k$
and, thus, does not depend on m. This value can be split
into subvalues $b_i=k_i$ using the method of the Subkey Gen-
15 eration Phase for the group S = Z_u. Since k is random,
one can generate this random value by randomly selecting
the subvalues k_i and by setting

      $$k = k_1 + k_2 + ...+ k_t .$$ (7)
20

In a Schnorr based SSS, the splitting method can be ap-
plied to step (a'), i.e., one computes the pairs (k_i, r_i)
separately, where $r_i=\beta^{ki}$ for i=1,2, ..., t. To carry out
step (b), one needs only the values r_i and the message m
25 as input. The hash value e is computed as above using the
product $r = r_1 \cdot r_2 \cdot ... \cdot r_t$ (in GF(q)). In step (c'), the
partial signature values $s_i=x_i \cdot e+k_i$ mod u are computed
separately before they are combined to form the signature
value s.
30

Note that in this Schnorr based SSS, the random elements
k_i can be generated and kept on the same separate storage
and computing devices as the private subkeys x_i and these
elements never need to leave these separate devices.
35

Signature Splitting for the Nyberg-Rueppel Signature

13

The Nyberg-Rueppel signature scheme (cf. K.Nyberg,
R.Rueppel, "Message Recovery for Signature Schemes Based
on the Discrete Logarithm Problem," Designs, Codes and
5   Cryptography, 7, 1996, pp. 61 - 81) is another variant of
the ElGamal scheme, where GF(q) is a prime field, i.e., q
is a prime. As in the Schnorr scheme, the key group X
consists of a large subgroup Z_u, where u divides q-1. The
key pair (x,y) is defined as in the Schnorr scheme. In-
10   stead of a hash function, a redundancy function ρ is
used, which is applied to a set of allowed messages. A
message m from this set is signed by carrying out the
following steps.
(a'') Compute the redundancy value $m'=\rho(m)$.
15   (b'') Compute $r=\beta^{-k}$ in GF(q), where k is a randomly cho-
       sen element of Z_u.
(c'') Compute $e=m' \cdot r$ in GF(q).
(d'') Compute the signature value

20        $s = \sigma_m(x) = x \cdot e + k \mod u$.

The signature consists of the pair (e,s). In a Nyberg-
Rueppel based SSS, step (a'') is performed as is. The
splitting method is applied to both step (b'') and (d'').
25   In step (b''), one uses the splitting method for the ran-
dom element k as described in the Schnorr based SSS (cf.
equation (7)) and generates the pairs (k_i, r_i), where
$r_i=\beta^{-ki}$. In Step (c''), the value e is computed from m
and the values r_i using the product $r = r_1 \cdot r_2 \cdot \ldots \cdot r_t$ (in
30   GF(q)). In step (d''), the partial signature values
$s_i=x_i \cdot e+k_i \mod u$ are computed separately before they are
combined to form the signature value s.

Signature Splitting for Elliptic Curve Based Signatures
35

ElGamal based digital signatures schemes can also be de-

14

fined over elliptic curves. Instead of considering the
multiplicative group of GF(q), one considers a large cy-
clic subgroup U of an elliptic curve C, which itself
forms a group with additive group operation •. The sub-
5   group U is generated by some generator ß, which is a
point of the elliptic curve C. Let u denote the order of
the subgroup U. The mapping of the integers Z onto U
given by assigning to an integer i the i-fold 'sum'
$i \cdot ß = (ß • ß • \ldots • ß)$ induces an isomorphism from Z_u onto U.
10   For ElGamal based digital signature schemes over elliptic
curves, one can apply the signature splitting method in a
similar way as for the ElGamal based schemes above. E.g.,
the key group is $X = Z_u$ and the signature monoid S also
equals Z_u.
15

Secret Sharing and Signature Splitting

Instead of using a simple Shared Control Scheme as de-
scribed above, more general Secret Sharing Schemes can be
20   applied, where a secret x is shared by e.g. 4 persons and
whenever 2 of these 4 persons put together their shares
x_i, they can reconstruct the secret x. These more general
type of Secret Sharing Schemes can be combined with sig-
nature splitting if the group operations that are used
25   are compatible with those of the underlying signature
scheme.

Consider e.g. an RSA PKCS with $n = p \cdot q$ and a key pair
(x,y). The secret sharing scheme given in the first exam-
30   ple in the paper "On Secret Sharing" by E.D. Karnin, J.W.
Green and M.E. Hellman (in IEEE Trans. on Information
Th., Vol. 29, No. 1, Jan 1983, pp. 35 - 41) can be
adapted to work for signature splitting. To this end, the
condition C3) of the mentioned paper is dropped.
35

The Subkey Generation Phase consists of two steps. In a

15

first step, the private key x is split into $x = u_1 + u_2$ mod $\varphi(n)$. In a second step, the secret (u_1, u_2) is divided into 4 shares

$$x_1 = (u_3, u_4),$$
$$x_2 = (u_1 + u_2 + u_3, u_2 + u_4),$$
$$x_3 = (u_2 + u_3, u_1 + u_4),$$
$$x_4 = (u_1 + u_3, u_2 + u_3 + u_4)$$

where + denotes addition modulo $\varphi(n)$ and where u_3 and u_4 are randomly chosen. Eventually, the 4 shares are stored on separate devices.

For a message m, the signature splitting is characterized by the pairs of partial signature values

$$s_i = (m^{x_{i1}}, m^{x_{i2}}) \mod n$$

where x_{i1} denotes the first and x_{i2} the second component of x_i. From any 2 of the 4 partial signature pairs s_i - when combining their components suitably - one can compute

$$s = (m^{u_1}, m^{u_2}) \mod n \ .$$

The final signature value is obtained by multiplying the two components of s, i.e., $s = m^{u_1} \cdot m^{u_2} \mod n$.

The above can be generalized as follows. A t-out-of-w Secret Sharing Scheme, where the secret x is split into w shares x_i lying in a subkey group X' with group operation +', can be characterized by requiring that there exist reconstruction functions $f_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_t}$ from the t-fold direct product X'xX'x...xX' into the key group X for any t-element subset $i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_t$ such that $x = f_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_t}(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \ldots, x_{i_t})$. Suppose that $f_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_t}$ is a homomorphism and that the partial signature values s_i are contained in a monoid S' with composition law *'. Define

16

a homomorphism $g_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_t}$ from the t-fold direct product
$S' \times S' \times \ldots \times S'$ into the signature monoid S, which is de-
rived from $f_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_t}$ by replacing the group operations
$+'$ and $+$ by the composition laws $*'$ and $*$, respectively.
The Secret Sharing Scheme is compatible with the signa-
ture scheme if, for almost all messages m, there exists a
homomorphism ψ'_m from the subkey group X' to the monoid
S' that is compatible with ψ_m, i.e., for every t-tuple
$v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_t$ in $X' \times X' \times \ldots \times X'$ the following equation in S
must hold

$$\psi_m(f_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_t}(v_1, \ldots, v_t)) = \\ g_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_t}(\psi'_m(v_1), \ldots, \psi'_m(v_t)).$$

For such a compatible Secret Sharing Scheme, one can gen-
erate the partial signature values $s_i = \psi'_m(x_i) *' b_i$ in
the partial signature monoid S', where the b_i,
$i = 1, 2, \ldots, w$, are elements of the partial signature monoid
S' such that

$$g_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_t}(b_{i_1}, b_{i_2}, \ldots, b_{i_t}) = \sigma_m(0).$$

The combining operation, which generates the signature
value s out of any t partial signatures, is given by

$$s = g_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_t}(s_{i_1}, s_{i_2}, \ldots, s_{i_t}).$$

While there are shown and described presently preferred
embodiments of the invention, it is to be distinctly un-
derstood that the invention is not limited thereto but
may be otherwise variously embodied and practiced within
the scope of the following claims.

Claims

1. A method for generating a digital signature comprising
a signature value $s = \sigma_m(x)$ using a signature algorithm Σ
5 and a private and public key pair x,y for a message m,
wherein x is an element of a group X with group operation
$+$, where 0 denotes the neutral element, and the signature
value s is an element of a monoid S with composition law
$*$ and wherein the map ψ_m defined by $\psi_m(x) =$
10 $\sigma_m(x) * (\sigma_m(0))^{-1}$ is a homomorphism from X to S for almost
all messages m,
said method comprising the steps of
    providing $w \geq 2$ private subkeys $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_w$ in a
subkey group X' with group operation $+'$ such that said
15 private key x can be reconstructed from any subset of at
least t, $2 \leq t \leq w$, subkeys $x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \ldots, x_{i_t}$ using $x =$
$f_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_t}(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \ldots, x_{i_t})$,
    using said subkeys for generating partial signature
values $s_i = \psi'_m(x_i) *' b_i$ in a partial signature monoid
20 S'
    and generating said signature value s from any t
partial signatures using $s = g_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_t}(s_{i_1}, s_{i_2}, \ldots, s_{i_t})$,
    wherein $f_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_t}$ is a homomorphism from the t-
fold direct product $X' \times X' \times \ldots \times X'$ into the key group X and
25 $g_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_t}$ is a homomorphism from the t-fold direct prod-
uct $S' \times S' \times \ldots \times S'$ into the signature monoid S, which is
derived from $f_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_t}$ by replacing the group operations
$+'$ and $+$ by the composition laws $*'$ and $*$, respectively,
    where the b_i, $i=1,2,\ldots,w$, are elements of the par-
30 tial signature monoid S' such that
$g_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_t}(b_{i_1}, b_{i_2}, \ldots, b_{i_t}) = \sigma_m(0)$,
    and where, for almost all messages m, ψ'_m is a ho-
momorphism from the subkey group X' to the partial signa-
ture monoid S' compatible with ψ_m, i.e., for every t-
35 tuple $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_t$ in $X' \times X' \times \ldots \times X'$ the following equation
in S must hold $\psi_m(f_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_t}(v_1, \ldots, v_t)) =$

18

$$g_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_t}(\psi'_m(v_1), \ldots, \psi'_m(v_t)).$$

2. The method of claim wherein said signature scheme is the RSA signing algorithm.

3. The method of claim 1 wherein said signature algorithm Σ is the ElGamal, the DSA of the DSS, the Schnorr or the Nyberg-Rueppel signature algorithm over the originally specified groups or over subgroups of an elliptic curve.

4. The method of one of the preceding claims wherein said step of generating said partial signature values $s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_w$ is carried out in a secure environment and said step of generating said signature value from said partial signature values is carried out in a non-secure environment.

5. The method of one of the preceding claims wherein $X'=X$, $S'=S$, $\psi'_m=\psi_m$ and $t=w$ and where $f_{12\ldots t}(x_1, \ldots, x_t) = x_1+x_2+\ldots+x_t$ and $g_{12\ldots t}(s_1, \ldots, s_t) = s_1 * s_2 * \ldots * s_t$.

6. The method of claim 5 comprising the step of generating a new set of subkeys $\{x'_{i_1}, x'_{i_2}, \ldots, x'_{i_u}, x'_k\}$ from said subset and at least one non-compromised subkey x in case that a proper subset $\{x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \ldots, x_{i_u}\}$ of said subkeys is compromised or to be replaced.

7. The method of claim 6 wherein said new set of subkeys is generated such that $x'_{i_1}+x'_{i_2}+\ldots+x'_{i_u}+x'_k = x_{i_1}+x_{i_2}+\ldots+x_{i_u}+x_k$.

8. The method of one of the preceding claims comprising the step of storing at least one of said subkeys x_i separately on a tamper-resistant device.

19

9. The method of claim 8 wherein said tamper-resistant device is a chip card.

10. The method of one of the claims 8 or 9 wherein said
5 step for generating the partial signature values $s_i = \psi'_m(x_i) * b_i$ is carried out in said tamper-resistant device.

11. An apparatus for generating a digital signature com-
10 prising means for carrying out the method of one of the preceding claims.

Fig. 1

```
      ┌──────────────┐
      │  (Input)     │
      │  m           │    200
      │  x₁,  x₂     │
      └──────┬───────┘
             │
             ▼
┌────────────────────────────────────────┐
│ (Computation)                          │
│ Compute b = σₘ(0) and  b₁, b₂ such that│   202
│ b = b₁*b₂                              │
└────────────────┬───────────────────────┘
                 │
                 ▼
        ┌────────────────────────────┐
        │ (Computation)              │
        │ Compute partial signatures │
        │ s₁ = Ψₘ(x₁)*b₁             │   204
        │ s₂ = Ψₘ(x₂)*b₂             │
        └──────────┬─────────────────┘
                   │
                   ▼
          ┌──────────────────────┐
          │ (Computation)        │
          │ Compute  s = s₁*s₁   │   206
          └──────────┬───────────┘
                     │
                     ▼
            ┌──────────────┐
            │  (Output)    │   208
            │  s           │
            └──────────────┘
```

Input block 200:
(Input)
m
$x_1,\ x_2$

Computation block 202:
(Computation)
Compute $b = \sigma_m(0)$ and b_1, b_2 such that $b = b_1 * b_2$

Computation block 204:
(Computation)
Compute partial signatures
$s_1 = \Psi_m(x_1) * b_1$
$s_2 = \Psi_m(x_2) * b_2$

Computation block 206:
(Computation)
Compute $s = s_1 * s_1$

Output block 208:
(Output)
s

Fig. 2

3/3

Fig. 3

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
IPC 7   H04L9/32   H04L9/30

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)
IPC 7 H04L

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US 5 825 880 A (SUDIA FRANK W ET AL) 20 October 1998 (1998-10-20) abstract column 2, line 21 - line 64	1,4,5,8, 9,11
A	column 5, line 22 –column 6, line 20 claims 1,2 figures 1-5	2,3,6
A	EP 0 869 635 A (FUJITSU LTD ;HITACHI LTD (JP); MAMBO MASAHIRO (JP); OKAMOTO EIJI ()) 7 October 1998 (1998-10-07) abstract page 3, line 1 - line 25 page 5, line 45 –page 6, line 51 claims 1,2 figures 1-3	1-11

-/--

X	Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

X	Patent family members are listed in annex.

* Special categories of cited documents :

"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

"E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date

"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.

"&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search	Date of mailing of the international search report
19 October 1999	26/10/1999

Name and mailing address of the ISA	Authorized officer
European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016	Gautier, L

Form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) (July 1992)

Inter: nal Application No

PCT/IB 99/00281

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category *	Citation of document, with indication,where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	BURMESTER M: "HOMOMORPHISMS OF SECRET SHARING SCHEMES: A TOOL FOR VERIFIABLE SIGNATURE SHARING" ADVANCES IN CRYPTOLOGY - EUROCRYPT '96 INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON THE THEORY AND APPLICATION OF CRYPTOGRAPHIC TECHNIQUES, SARAGOSSA, MAY 12 - 16, 1996, 12 May 1996 (1996-05-12), pages 96-106, XP000725437 MAURER U (ED) ISBN: 3-540-61186-X the whole document -----	1-10

2

Form PCT/ISA/210 (continuation of second sheet) (July 1992)

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Internal Application No

PCT/IB 99/00281

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Patent family member(s)		Publication date
US 5825880	A	20-10-1998	AU 5552196	A	24-12-1996
			BR 9608416	A	29-12-1998
			CA 2223305	A	12-12-1996
			CN 1192834	A	09-09-1998
			EP 0872080	A	21-10-1998
			GB 2301919	A	18-12-1996
			JP 11506222	T	02-06-1999
			WO 9639765	A	12-12-1996
			US 5867578	A	02-02-1999
			ZA 9603635	A	19-11-1996
			AP 626	A	16-01-1998
			AU 1680395	A	01-08-1995
			AU 705473	B	20-05-1999
			AU 6084296	A	10-10-1996
			BR 9506414	A	09-09-1997
			CA 2176032	A	20-07-1995
			CN 1138927	A	25-12-1996
			CZ 9601978	A	12-03-1997
			EP 0739560	A	30-10-1996
			HU 75800	A,B	28-05-1997
			JP 9507729	T	05-08-1997
			NZ 279622	A	27-04-1998
			PL 315574	A	12-11-1996
			WO 9519672	A	20-07-1995
			US 5857022	A	05-01-1999
			US 5872849	A	16-02-1999
			US 5850451	A	15-12-1998
			US 5799086	A	25-08-1998
			US 5841865	A	24-11-1998
EP 0869635	A	07-10-1998	JP 10274926	A	13-10-1998

Best Available Copy